

⊬age ∠ or 3

Department of Defense
Department of the Navy - Main Office
(DNS-36)
2000 Navy Pentagon
Washington, DC20350-2000

RE: Freedom of Information Act request Expedited processing requested

Oct.8, 2013

Dear Sir or Madam:

(**b**) (6)

Dept Of dia Navy (OPNAV) PA/FOIA Policy Office
Freedom OF information/Privacy Act Request
Date Rectified 10-8-13 Staintory due date: 11-5-13
DON PA/FOIA/consult Tracking Number: 2014 Food31
Acknowledgement date:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act 5 U.S.C. § 552, I request access to and copies of:

- All emails send to and from agency staff during the last five years in which "SWAT", "special response team", "armed response team" or "SRT" are in the subject and/or body of the email.
- All contracts with private security companies used to carry out agency functions, including those that authorize the use of lethal force and/or collect past-due debts.
- Digital copies of any database, spreadsheet or documents detailing instances in which lethal force was used during the past five years
- Copies of policies governing the use of SWAT, special response, rapid response or armed response teams as part of your enforcement efforts, including guidelines detailingwhen such teams may be deployed.

These records pertain tohow the governmentinal have used its authority to use deadly force on American citizens¹. In turn, the recordsare a matter of extreme public importance such that disclosure of would not apply to any exemptionsufficient to warrant withholding or unnecessary delay under the FOIA. In fact, the only relevant public interest at stake here is the extent to which disclosure of the information sought would "let citizens know what their government is up to." See U.S. Dep't of Def. v. Fed. Labor Relations Auth., 510 U.S. 487, 497 (1994) (quoting DCH v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, 489 U.S. 749 (1989)).

Because I am a member of the news media who is primarily engaged in disseminating information, I have an "urgency to inform" the public about federal government activity in accordance with § 552 (a)(6)(E)(v). Such a request for expedited processing meets established criteria for demonstrating a "compelling need": the records concern a matter of current exigency to the American public; that their delay would compromise a significant recognized interest; and that they concern federal government activity. See generally Al-Fayed v. C.I.A., 254 F.3d.300, 310 (D.C. Cir. 2001).

Public interest in the prompt disclosure of the records is high, as it would shed light on the operations of government, particularly whether or not the Executive Branch engaged in misconduct when conducting possible raids on citizens. Any reasonable person, in light of press reports², may thus conclude that

Tanchi TV

(5)的(權)(權)(5) (4

ik ii ii ire ii Re

ow hare

¹Radley Balko, RISE OF THE WARRIOR COP, *The Wall Street Journal*, Aug. 7, 2013.

"government impropriety might have recurred Nat'l Archives & Records Admin. v. Favish, 541 U.S. 157, 172 (2004). Prompt release 657 seed shiftd therefore contribute significantly to a recognized, national interest: how the government of the statety and civility liberties of American citizens.

Because this is a request by the news media for records in the public interest, I ask that you waive any search fees in accordance with § 552(a)(4)(4)(1). If the fee for retrieving or reproducing these records will exceed \$25 please notify me before filling this request. I can be reached at by electronic mail at (b) (6)

As you know, agencies must redact only what is necessary to protect exempt information and must explain the basis for any redactions. See Georgia and F.B.I., 908 F. Supp. 2d 176, 182 (D.D.C. 2012). As well, please provide documents to me on a rolling basis, rather than waiting for my entire request to be processed before releasing documents.

As a reminder, the President has directed that the FOIA "be administered with a clear presumption: In the face of doubt, openness prevails." Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Dep'ts and Agencies, 74 Fed. Reg. 15, 4683 (Jan. 26, 2009), emphasis added. That view is unambiguous and consistent with Supreme Court precedent espousing" a general philosophy of full agency disclosure" under the FOIA. Dep't of the Air Force v. Rose, 425 U.S. 352, 360-61 (1976).

The Act, in § 552(a)(6), grants your office no more than twenty working days in which to respond to this request. See also Oglesby v. U.S. Dep't of Army, 920 F.2d 57, 65 (D.C. Cir. 1990) ("Congress adopted the time limit provision in the FOIA in order to contribute to the fuller andfaster release of information, which is the basic objective of the Act." (quoting H.R. Rep. No.93-876, March 5, 1974, reprinted (1974) U.S. Code Cong. & Ad. News 6267 at 6271)). Agencies therefore "should make it a priority to respond in a timely manner. Timely disclosure of information is an essential component of transparency." Attorney General's Memorandum for Heads of Executive Dep'ts and Agencies Concerning the Freedom of Info. Act, at 3 (Mar. 19,2009), available from http://www.justice.gov/ag/foia-memo-march2009.pdf.

I affirm the information provided above including information applicable to my request for expedited processing, is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. 14 CA

Thank you for your assistance. I look forward to your prompt reply.

公司(美)

11 5 i



ya. Diwi et fi

La Les Mastre

· Niderall

ice studen

79

H.

1

³As amended by the OPEN Government Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-175, 121 Stat. 2524. 4.1